NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH DISTRICT

DIVISION TWO

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In re ROCHELLE R., a Person Coming
Under the Juvenile Court Law.

KATHLEEN R.,

E030528

Petitioner and Respondent,

(Super.Ct.No. SASS 01201)

OPINION

ELEANOR W.,

V.

Objector and Appellant.

APPEAL from the Superior Court of San Bernardino County. Bob N. Krug, Judge.

Affirmed.

Suzanne F. Evans, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Objector and Appellant.

No appearance for Petitioner and Respondent.

No appearance for Minor.

Eleanor W. (mother) is the biological mother of Rochelle R. (born in December 1992). Rochelle R.'s father is Lee R. who has been married for about five years to Kathleen R.

On January 14, 2000, Kathleen R. filed a stepparent petition to adopt Rochelle R. On April 11, 2001, she filed a petition to free Rochelle R. from the custody of her mother pursuant to Family Code section 7822.

On September 20, 2001, a contested hearing was held on the free from custody petition. On September 24, 2001, the court found that mother had only made token efforts to communicate with Rochelle R. and ordered her freed from the custody of her mother.

Mother appealed, and at her request we appointed counsel to represent her. Counsel has filed a brief under authority of *In re Sade C*. (1996) 13 Cal.4th 952, *Anders v*. *California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, and *People v*. *Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, setting forth a statement of the case, a summary of facts, suggesting an issue on appeal, and requesting this court to undertake an independent review of the entire record.

We provided mother with an opportunity to file a personal supplemental brief, but she has not done so.

We have now completed our independent review of the record and find no arguable issues.

			 McKINSTER	
We concur:				J.
RAMIREZ	P.J.			
	1.0.			

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

J.

WARD